

# United States Patent and Trademark Office



UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
10/001,728	10/31/2001	Richard Paul Tarquini	10017270-1	3625
7590 03/24/2005			EXAMINER	
HEWLETT-PACKARD COMPANY			LEMMA, SAMSON B	
Intellectual Proj	perty Administration			
P.O. Box 27240			ART UNIT PAPER NUMBER	
Fort Collins, C	CO 80527-2400 2132			
• •			DATE MAILED: 02/24/200	•

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

	Application No.	Applicant(s)	
	10/001,728	TARQUINI ET AL.	
Office Action Summary	Examiner	Art Unit	
	Samson B Lemma	2132	
The MAILING DATE of this communicatio	n appears on the cover sheet w	ith the correspondence address	
Period for Reply			
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR R THE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATI  - Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 C after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communicatio  - If the period for reply specified above is less than thirty (30) days,  - If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory p  - Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	ON. FR 1.136(a). In no event, however, may a son. a reply within the statutory minimum of thir period will apply and will expire SIX (6) MON statute, cause the application to become Al	reply be timely filed  ty (30) days will be considered timely.  NTHS from the mailing date of this communication.  BANDONED (35 U.S.C. § 133).	
Status			
1) Responsive to communication(s) filed on	31 October 2001.		
2a) This action is <b>FINAL</b> . 2b) ⊠	This action is non-final.		
3) Since this application is in condition for all	lowance except for formal mat	ters, prosecution as to the merits is	
closed in accordance with the practice un	der <i>Ex parte Quayle</i> , 1935 C.D	). 11, 453 O.G. 213.	
Disposition of Claims			
4) Claim(s) 1-13 is/are pending in the application	ation.		
4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are wit			
5) Claim(s) is/are allowed.			
6)⊠ Claim(s) <u>1-13</u> is/are rejected.			
7) Claim(s) is/are objected to.			
8) Claim(s) are subject to restriction a	and/or election requirement.		
Application Papers		,	
9) The specification is objected to by the Exa	miner.		
10) The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a)	accepted or b) objected to	by the Examiner.	
Applicant may not request that any objection to			
Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the co	orrection is required if the drawing	(s) is objected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d	
11) ☐ The oath or declaration is objected to by the	ne Examiner. Note the attached	d Office Action or form PTO-152.	
Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119			
12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for fo	reign priority under 35 H S C &	S 119(a)-(d) or (f)	

12) ACKIIC	wheather is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 0.5.0. § 119(a)-(d) of (f).
a)∐ All	b)☐ Some * c)☐ None of:
1.	Certified copies of the priority documents have been received.
2.	Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No
3.	Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage
	application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).

Attac	hme	nt(s
-------	-----	------

	• •
1) 🛚	Notice of References Cited (PTO-892)
	Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948)
3) 🛛	Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449 or PTO/SB/08)
	Paper No(s)/Mail Date JUL 28 2003

4) Interview Summary (PTO-413)
Paper No(s)/Mail Date
5) Notice of Informal Patent Application (PTO-152)
6) Other:

<sup>\*</sup> See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received.

Art Unit: 2132

#### DETAILED ACTION

Page 2

1. Claims 1-13 have been examined.

## Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 102

2. The following is a quotation of the appropriate paragraphs of 35 U.S.C. 102 that form the basis for the rejections under this section made in this Office action:

A person shall be entitled to a patent unless -

(e) the invention was described in (1) an application for patent, published under section 122(b), by another filed in the United States before the invention by the applicant for patent or (2) a patent granted on an application for patent by another filed in the United States before the invention by the applicant for patent, except that an international application filed under the treaty defined in section 351(a) shall have the effects for purposes of this subsection of an application filed in the United States only if the international application designated the United States and was published under Article 21(2) of such treaty in the English language.

- 3. Claims 1 and 3-10 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(e) as being anticipated by Victor Kouznetsov (hereinafter referred as Kouznetsov) (U.S. Patent No 6,725,377B1)
- As per claim 1 and 9-10, Kouznetsov a mobile device operable in a mobile 4. telecommunications network, comprising:
  - A memory module for storing data in machine readable format for retrieval and execution by a central processing unit; [Column 1, lines 53-55; column 4, lines 43-47; column 4, lines 63-65; column 6, lines 58-61; column 10, lines 19-22] (The anti-intrusion software program which is stored on the CD-ROM or floppy disk is installed on any computer/server by the system administrator as explained on column 4, lines 43-47 and column 4, lines 53-55 and the system administrator is required to retrieve and install the latest versions of updates for

Art Unit: 2132

each servers. Therefore, inherently, this program is installed in the computers memory and any computer larger or small, must have a central processing unit for execution of this software program installed in its memory module.)

Page 3

- An operating system operable to execute an intrusion detection application stored in the memory module. [Column 6, lines 32-34; column 7, lines 46-48; column 2, lines 39-41] (First as explained on column 2, lines 25-26 and column 2, lines 32-35 it has been disclosed that the CyberCop Network which is the real time intrusion detection application software is offered in a variety of outlets and forms. It is accompanied by documentation including the CyberCop Network for windows NT version 2 operating system. The anti-intrusion monitor server which has stored the intrusion application software manually by the administrator in its own memory module as explained on column 4, lines 43-47, performd program execution using Pentium based server running Window NT operating system as explained on column 6, lines 32-34].
- 5. As per claim 3, Kouznetsov discloses the device as applied to claim 1 above.

  Furthermore Kouznetsov discloses the device wherein the intrusion detection application further comprises an associative process engine and an input/output control layer, the input/output control layer operable to receive a signature file and pass the signature file to the associative process engine, the associative process engine operable to analyze a data packet with the signature file. [ Figure 2, column 7, lines 31-38] (The intrusion application which is first loaded at central anti-intrusion server shown at figure 5, ref. Num "514" transmits the modified attack/pattern or signature file to the push administration and the push administration transmit the modified attack/pattern or signature to the anti-intrusion server shown on figure 2, ref. Num "202" meets the recitation of the claim.)

Art Unit: 2132

6. As per claim 4, Kouznetsov discloses the device as applied to claim 1 above.

Furthermore Kouznetsov discloses the device further comprising a storage media, the

storage media operable to maintain a database of a plurality of signature files

therein.[column 7, lines 62-67]

7. As per claims 5-8, Kouznetsov discloses the device as applied to claims above.

Furthermore **Kouznetsov** discloses the device wherein the intrusion detection application identifies a correspondence between the signature file and a data packet, a determination that the data packet is intrusion-related made upon identification of the correspondence. [Column 7, lines 31-38]

## Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

Page 4

- 8. The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:
  - (a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.
- 9. Claims 11-13 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Holland III et al (hereinafter referred as Holland) (U.S. Patent No 6,851,061) in view of Smaha et al, (hereinafter referred to as Smaha) (U.S. Patent No. 5,557,742)
- 10. As per claims 11-13, Holland discloses a node [figure 1, ref. Num "11" ref. Num "12"] of a network for managing an intrusion detection system, [Column 1, lines 15-18;

Art Unit: 2132

figure 1, ref. Num "20", ref. Num "19", ref. Num 18"] (The present invention relates in general to network intrusion detection data collection and, in particular, to a system and method for intrusion detection data collection using a network protocol stack multiplexor). **The node comprising**:

- A memory module for storing data in machine readable format for retrieval and execution by the central processing unit; [Column 4, lines 23-29]
  - An operating system [Figure 2, ref. Num "Kernel"] (The Kernel is the core of an operating system such as Windows 98, Windows NT, Mac OS or Unix. Provides basic services for the other parts of the operating system, making it possible for it to run several programs at once multitasking, read and write files and connect to networks and peripherals.) comprising

A network stack comprising a protocol driver, [Figure 1, ref. Num "33"; figure 3, ref. Num "52"; figure 4, ref. Num "82" and ref. Num "83"; Column 6, lines 27-31] (The protocol driver is inherently included in the IP stack since, in the network architecture used in windows 2000 and later the LLC, network and transport layer which are part of the IP layer shown on figure 4, ref. Num "82", "83" are implemented by software drivers which are also called protocol drivers) and

• A media access control driver [figure 2, ref. Num "31"; column 4, lines 53-57] (The MAC driver or media access control driver is also inherently included in the NIC. The MAC or the "media access control driver", also called the network card driver, allows the operating system to talk with the NIC. Windows NT and Windows 95/98 come with MAC drivers for most NICs. The MAC driver got its name from the fact that it operates at the lower level of the

Art Unit: 2132

OSI model. The second layer of the model, the Data Link layer, is divided into two pieces: the LLC and MAC. The LLC sub layer is implemented in the transport driver while the MAC sub layer is implemented in the NIC) and operable to execute an intrusion protection system [Column 4, Lines 52-

Page 6

Holland does not explicitly discloses

• Management application, the management application operable to receive text-file input defining a network-exploit rule and convert the text-file input into a signature file comprising machine-readable logic representative of an exploit-signature, the node operable to transmit the signature file to a mobile device over a radio frequency link.

However, in the same field of endeavor, **Smaha** discloses

53; figure 2, ref. Num "37" and column 4, lines 31-32

An application operable to receive text-file input defining a network-exploit [figure 1, ref. Num "20" and "12"; figure 5a, ref. Num "12"; column 4, lines 40-49] (For instance, input mechanism shown on figure 1, ref. Num "20" receives input from any wide array of sources for example user devices shown on figure 1, ref. Num "22") and convert the text-file input into a signature file comprising machine-readable logic representative of an exploit-signature, [figure 5a, ref. Num "144"; column 5, lines 10-12; Column 6, lines 9-11] (The misuse engine shown on figure 1, ref. Num "30" converts the input into events/signature and compare it with the known signatures and generate a signature representative of an exploit-signature when detecting a misuse during processing operations and send it to the out put mechanism as shown on figure 5a, ref. Num "32") and the node operable to transmit the signature file to a

Art Unit: 2132

radio frequency link. [column 6, lines 15-17; figure 1, ref. Num "36" and "38" and ref. Num "40"] (the output signal is sent to the communication links.)

Page 7

It would have been obvious to one having ordinary skill in the art, at the time the invention was made, to combine the features of converting the input into events/signature and compare it with the known signatures and generate a signature representative of an exploit-signature when detecting a misuse during processing operations and send it to the out put mechanism as shown on figure 5a, ref. Num "32" and transmitting to the communication links as per teachings of **Smaha** in to the method of analyzing the traffic using signature-based and statistical-based intrusion detection techniques as taught by **Holland**, in order to create a system a system capable of automatically recognizing intrustions and misuses. (See Smaha, Column 3, lines 9-10)

- 11. <u>Claims 2</u> is rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Victor

  Kouznetsov (hereinafter referred as Kouznetsov) (U.S. Patent No 6,725,377B1)

  in view of Holland III et al (hereinafter referred as Holland) (U.S. Patent No 6,851,061)
- 12. As per claim 2, **Kouznetsov** discloses a device or a method of monitoring intrusion using an anti-intrusion monitor server running Window NT operating system. [Column 6, lines 32-34]

#### Kouznetsov does not explicitly discloses

• The operating system further comprises a network stack comprising a protocol driver, a media access control driver, the intrusion detection application comprising an intermediate driver bound to the protocol driver and the media access control driver.

Art Unit: 2132

However, in the same field of endeavor, Holland discloses

An operating system [Figure 2, ref. Num "Kernel"] (The Kernel is the core of an operating system such as Windows 98, Windows NT, Mac OS or Unix. Provides basic services for the other parts of the operating system, making it possible for it to run several programs at once multitasking, read and write files and connect to networks and peripherals.) comprising

Page 8

A network stack comprising a protocol driver, [Figure 1, ref. Num "33"; figure 3, ref. Num "52"; figure 4, ref. Num "82" and ref. Num "83"; Column 6, lines 27-31 ] (The protocol driver is inherently included in the IP stack since, in the network architecture used in windows 2000 and later the LLC, network and transport layer which are part of the IP layer shown on figure 4, ref. Num "82", "83" are implemented by software drivers which are also called protocol drivers)

- A media access control driver [figure 2, ref. Num "31"; column 4, lines 53-57] (The MAC driver or media access control driver is also inherently included in the NIC. The MAC or the "media access control driver", also called the network card driver, allows the operating system to talk with the NIC. Windows NT and Windows 95/98 come with MAC drivers for most NICs. The MAC driver got its name from the fact that it operates at the lower level of the OSI model. The second layer of the model, the Data Link layer, is divided into two pieces: the LLC and MAC. The LLC sub layer is implemented in the transport driver while the MAC sub layer is implemented in the NIC) and
- The intrusion detection system implemented as an intermediate driver [Figure 2, ref. Num "37"] and bound to the protocol driver [figure 2, ref. Num "33"] and the media

Art Unit: 2132

Access control driver. [figure 2, ref. Num "31"] (With respect to Holland the Packet filter/an instance of the intrusion detection service which is shown on figure 2, ref. Num "37" is implemented as an intermediate driver and bound to the MAC driver which is inherently included in the NIC and to the protocol driver which is inherently included in the IP stack shown on figure 2, ref. Num "33" and shown also on figure 3, ref. Num "52. This is because the IP protocol stack implementation disclosed on column 6, lines 27-31 and particularly shown on figure 4, ref. Num "82" and "83", namely the network layer and the transport layer are all implemented by the protocol driver.)

It would have been obvious to one having ordinary skill in the art, at the time the invention was made, to combine the features of the operating system as per teachings of **Kouznetsov** in to the method of operating system which comprises the network stack as taught by **Holland**, in order to relates network intrusion detection with respect to a network protocol stack.

### Conclusion

13. The prior art made of record and not relied upon is considered pertinent to applicant's disclosure.(See PTO-Form 892).

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Samson B Lemma whose telephone number is 571-272-3806. The examiner can normally be reached on Monday-Friday (8:00 am---4: 30 pm).

Application/Control Number: 10/001,728 Page 10

Art Unit: 2132

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, BARRON JR GILBERTO can be reached on 571-272-3799. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 703-872-9306.

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see <a href="http://pair-direct.uspto.gov">http://pair-direct.uspto.gov</a>. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free).

SAMSON LEMMA

S.L.

03/10/2005

GILBERTO BARRON TRA SUPERVISORY PATENT EXAMINER TECHNOLOGY CENTER 2100